

**ORDER SHEET****WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL****Present-**

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag  
& The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No CCP- 117 OF 2016

ABU SUFIAN Vs. Mr. P. RAMESH KUMAR, I.A.S. PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, CIVIL DEFENCE DEPARTMENT,  
GOVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>09</u> 02.04.18</p>	<p>For the Applicant : Ms.H.A.Rashid Learned Advocate</p> <p>For the Respondent : Mr.S.N.Ray Learned Advocate</p> <p>The present contempt application is filed by the contemnor for intentional violation of order dated February 18, 2016 passed by the Tribunal in OA-155 of 2015, which was affirmed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of High Court at Calcutta on May 17, 2017 in WBST 4 of 2017.</p> <p>By producing a copy of letter dated March 21, 2018 issued by the Assistant Secretary, Department of Disaster Management &amp; Civil Defence, Government of West Bengal, Mr.Ray, Learned Counsel for the contemnor submits that the order of the Tribunal has already been complied with. It appears from letter dated March 21, 2018 that the Department of Disaster Management &amp; Civil Defence has already given direction to the Commandant, W.B.C.E.F., Howrah to do the needful for giving appointment of the applicant Abu Sufian, as the department has already given approval for appointment of the applicant on compassionate ground.</p>	

**ORDER SHEET**

ABU SUFIAN

Form No. ....

**Vs.****MR.P.RAMESH KUMAR, I.A.S. PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, CIVIL DEFENCE  
DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL.**Case No. **CCP -117 OF 2016** .....

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
BLR	<p>Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the Tribunal may give direction to the Commandant, West Bengal Civil Emergency Force, Howrah to issue appointment letter in favour of the applicant. We cannot persuade ourselves to give any such direction to the Respondent No.3 as the said respondent has not been impleaded as a contemnor in the contempt application. The further direction from our end is not warranted when the order of the Tribunal has already been complied with. In view of our above findings, the contempt application is disposed of.</p> <p>Let a plain copy of the order be supplied to both parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(S.K.Das) MEMBER(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(R.K.Bag) MEMBER (J)</p>	